

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/686,684	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CRAFTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ing-Hour Lin	<b>Art Unit</b> 1725	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ing-Hour Lin.

(2) Scott Sudderth.

**Status of Application:** Examiner's amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2007

**Time:** 11:50 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

67-68, 72, 76-79, 84-102 and 105-107

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney agreed that claims 67 and 72 were amended and claims 68, 76-79, 84-102 and 105-107 were cancelled. However, attorney reserved the right to file divisional application.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Ing-Hour Lin*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)